

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) – Texas General Land Office (GLO) - Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC)

Hurricane Harvey, Community Development Block Grant – Disaster Recovery (CDBG-DR) Funding

REGIONAL DISTRIBUTION SUMMARY

Table 1

Program	HUD Most Impacted Areas (80%)	State Most Impacted Areas (20%)	LMI Amount (70% of Total Allocation)	Total	% of Total Regional Allocation
Homeowner Assistance Program	\$ 32,657,218	\$ 23,281,471	\$ 39,157,082	\$ 55,938,689	50.66%
Local Buyout/Acquisition Program	\$ 8,606,577	\$ 9,824,070	\$ 12,901,453	\$ 18,430,647	16.69%
Local Infrastructure Program	\$ 18,426,069	\$ 17,618,520	\$ 25,231,212	\$ 36,044,589	32.64%
Total Regional Allocation				\$ 110,413,925	

State of Texas Plan for Disaster Recovery: Hurricane Harvey Round 1 (State Action Plan), April 6, 2018, Texas General Land Office Community Development & Revitalization Program

The GCRPC was tasked by the GLO to develop methods of distribution (MOD) for Hurricane Harvey, CDBG-DR funds for the state’s Local Buyout and Acquisition Program (LBAP) and ~~the~~ Local Infrastructure Program (LIP). The GCRPC will implement a funding distribution scheme based on the analysis and methodology utilized by the GLO in its distribution of CDBG-DR funds to the regions within the Hurricane Harvey declared disaster areas. See *State Action Plan, Regional Methods of Distributions: XI. Appendix F, pp 118-128*. Baseline funding amounts, to be distributed amongst eligible entities throughout the region, have been provided by the GLO. Amounts allocated to HUD Most Impacted Areas (80%) and State Most Impacted Areas (20%) for LBAP and LIP are not subject to change.

The HUD CDBG-DR Federal Register Notice of Funding Opportunity (Vol.83, No28, Friday, February 9, 2018) requires that 80% of the total regional allocation is to be received by Victoria County and the area encompassed by the 77979 ZIP code in Calhoun County.

The remaining counties (Calhoun [outside of 77979], DeWitt, Goliad, Gonzales, Jackson, and Lavaca) are designated to receive 20% of the HUD funding allocated to the GCRPC region. The subgrouping of eligible entities is incorporated into the distribution methodology used to develop LBAP and LIP spreadsheets. Altogether, there are seventy-three (73) entities with eminent domain authority within the GCRPC region. Of the seventy-three (73) eminent domain authority entities, seven (7) private utilities are ineligible for LBAP funds per HUD guidance in *Federal Register Vol. 83, No 28, Friday, February 9, 2018, Notices. The remaining sixty-six (66) cities, counties, and entities with eminent domain authority are all* eligible to receive LBAP Funds, ~~and~~ ~~twenty-six (26) cities and counties are~~ eligible to receive ~~LAP-LIP~~ funds.

Formatted: Font: Italic

See Attachment 1 – CDBG-DR GCRPC Eligible Entity Distribution Summaryies.

The State Action Plan contains a number of requirements whose adherence impacts the outcomes displayed in LBAP and ~~LAP-LIP~~ spreadsheets. Beyond the 80% minimum distribution to HUD defined Most Impacted Areas and the 20% minimum distribution to HUD defined Impacted Areas, 70% of project funds awarded must provide benefit to Low to Moderate Income populations. Additionally, LBAP eligible entities receiving funding must receive a minimum of \$1,000,000

through the applied MOD and ~~LAP-LIP~~ eligible entities must receive a minimum of \$100,000 through the applied MOD.

Descriptions of the factors and resulting percentages utilized by GCRPC to distribute funds are as follows:

Local Buyout and Acquisition Program (LBAP) FACTORS:

- **HUD Most Impacted Counties and Zip Codes (80%)**
 - County, City, or Zip Code Population
 - Median Value of Housing Units for Homeowners/Median Value of Constructing Rental Unit for Renters
 - FEMA Verified Count of Unmet Need—Major/Low Damage Severity
 - FEMA Verified Count of Unmet Need—Major/High Damage Severity
 - FEMA Verified Count of Unmet Need—Severe Damage
 - Total FEMA Verified Count of Unmet Need Units
 - Unmet Need \$ Amount - Derived Using Severity Levels
 - 15% Resiliency
 - Unmet Need \$ Amount, + (plus) 15% Resiliency - Derived Using Severity Levels
 - Unmet Need + (plus) Resiliency Removing Any Overlap
 - Raw Social Vulnerability Index Score (SoVI)
 - Positive ~~Social Vulnerability Index Score~~ SoVI
 - Estimated Unmet Need + (plus) Resiliency Amount Per Capita

- **State Most Impacted Areas (20%)**
 - County or City Population
 - Median Value of Housing Units for Homeowners/Median Value of Constructing Rental Unit for Renters
 - FEMA Verified Count of Unmet Need—Major/Low Damage Severity
 - FEMA Verified Count of Unmet Need—Major/High Damage Severity
 - FEMA Verified Count of Unmet Need—Severe Damage
 - Total FEMA Verified Count of Unmet Need Units
 - Unmet Need \$ Amount - Derived Using Severity Levels
 - 15% Resiliency
 - Unmet Need \$ Amount, + (plus) 15% Resiliency - Derived Using Severity Levels
 - Unmet Need + (plus) Resiliency Removing Any Overlap
 - Raw ~~Social Vulnerability Index Score~~ (SoVI)
 - Positive ~~Social Vulnerability Index Score~~ SoVI
 - Estimated Unmet Need + (plus) Resiliency Amount Per Capita

Resulting LBAP Distribution PERCENTAGES:

- Distribution Percentage Based on Unmet Need + (plus) Resiliency
- Distribution Percentage Based on 1 + (plus) (Raw SoVI - (minus) ~~Min~~ (Minimum Raw SoVI)) (Min Raw SoVI)

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

- Distribution Percentage Based on Per-Capita Unmet Needs
- ~~Combined Distribution Percentage Distribution~~ Based on Model with 50% (Unmet Need + (plus) Resiliency), 40% (1 + Raw SoVI - (minus) Min Raw SoVI), and 10% (Unmet Need Per Capita)

Local Infrastructure Program (LIP) FACTORS:

- **HUD Most Impacted Counties and Zip Codes (80%)**
 - County or City Population
 - Projected Public Assistance (PA) cost
 - Unmet need = 10% county/city matching requirement of ~~f~~ total project costs
 - Resiliency = 15% of total project costs
 - 1 + (plus) Raw SoVI - (minus) ~~Minimum~~ Min Raw SoVI
 - Estimated Unmet Need + (plus) Resiliency Amount Per Capita
- **State Most Impacted Areas (20%)**
 - County or City Population
 - Projected ~~Public Assistance (PA)~~ cost
 - Unmet need = 10% county/city matching requirement of ~~f~~ total project costs
 - Resiliency = 15% of total project costs
 - 1 + (plus) Raw SoVI - (minus) ~~Minimum~~ Raw SoVI
 - Estimated Unmet Need + (plus) Resiliency Amount Per Capita

Resulting LIP Distribution PERCENTAGES:

- Distribution Percentage Based on Unmet Need + (plus) Resiliency
- Distribution Percentage Based on 1 + (plus) (Raw SoVI - (minus) Min (Raw SoVI))
- Distribution Percentage Based on Per-Capita Unmet Needs
- ~~Combined Distribution Percentage Based on Model with 50% (Unmet Need plus Resiliency), 40% (1 + (plus) SoVI - (minus) Min SoVI), and 10% (Unmet Need Per Capita) Percentage Distribution Based on Model with 50% (Unmet Need plus Resiliency), 40% (1 + SoVI - Min SoVI), and 10% (Unmet Need Per Capita)~~

A. State Housing Program Allocation

1. State Homeowner Assistance Program Allocation

a. Amount(s)

Program	HUD Most Impacted Areas (80%)	State Most Impacted Areas (20%)	LMI Amount (70% of Total Allocation)	Total	% of Total Regional Allocation
Homeowner Assistance Program	\$ 32,657,218	\$ 23,281,471	\$ 39,157,082	\$ 55,938,689	50.66%

b. Method of Distribution – Not Applicable

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman
 Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman
 Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

b.—The Texas General Land Office (GLO) will directly manage and administer the Homeowner Assistance Program for the Golden Crescent Regional Planning Commission (GCRPC) region. Additional information regarding purpose, scope, eligibility, and applying for assistance will be provided by the GLO.

c. Reallocation – Please see Page 75 of 203 of the *State of Texas Plan for Disaster Recovery: Hurricane Harvey – Round 1 (SAP), April 6, 2018*, available at <http://www.glo.texas.gov/recovery/reports/action-plans/index.html>.

Formatted: Hyperlink, Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

Field Code Changed

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, 12 pt

2. Local Buyout and Acquisition Program (LBAP) Allocation

a. Amount(s)

Program	HUD Most Impacted Areas (80%)	State Most Impacted Areas (20%)	LMI Amount (70% of Total Allocation)	Total	% of Total Regional Allocation
Local Buyout/Acquisition Program	\$ 8,606,577	\$ 9,824,070	\$ 12,901,453	\$ 18,430,647	16.69%

b. Method of Distribution Detail – see Attachment 2 – LBAP MOD Detail.

b.c. Reallocation – “Reallocation of [LBAP] funds from de-obligated funds and/or cost savings from completed projects will be [at] the discretion of the GLO within the region[.]” Please see Page 79 of 203 of the *SAP, April 6, 2018*, available at <http://www.glo.texas.gov/recovery/reports/action-plans/index.html>.
(For more information please contact Michael Ada at michaela@gerpc.org or call at 361-578-1587 ext. 204)

B. Local Infrastructure Program (LIP) Allocation

1. Local Infrastructure Program Allocation

Program	HUD Most Impacted Areas (80%)	State Most Impacted Areas (20%)	LMI Amount (70% of Total Allocation)	Total	% of Total Regional Allocation
Local Infrastructure Program	\$ 18,426,069	\$ 17,618,520	\$ 25,231,212	\$ 36,044,589	32.64%

b. Method of Distribution Detail – see Attachment 3 – LIP MOD Detail

c. Reallocation – “Reallocation of [LIP] funds from de-obligated funds and/or cost savings from completed projects will be [at] the discretion of the GLO within the region[.]” Please see Page 87 of 203 of the *SAP, April 6, 2018*, available at <http://www.glo.texas.gov/recovery/reports/action-plans/index.html>.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Local Buyout and Acquisition Program (LBAP) Distribution

#	COG	Initial 80% Allocation of Funds For HUD Most Impacted Counties & Zip Codes	
1	GCRPC	Victoria County (Excluding City of Victoria)	\$ 2,545,545
2	GCRPC	City of Victoria	\$ 2,441,508
3	GCRPC	Victoria ISD (Grand Total County minus Nursery ISD)	\$ -
4	GCRPC	Nursery Independent School District	\$ -
5	GCRPC	Victoria County Junior College District (Enrollment 2016/17)	\$ -
6	GCRPC	Victoria Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Residential Meters)	NA
7	GCRPC	77979 Calhoun County (Excluding City of Port Lavaca)	\$ 1,858,095
8	GCRPC	Calhoun County Independent School District (City of Port Lavaca and 77979)	\$ -
9	GCRPC	South Central Calhoun County Water Control & Improvement District #1	\$ -
10	GCRPC	City of Port Lavaca (77979)	\$ 1,761,429
11	GCRPC	La Salle Water Control & Improvement District #1A	\$ -
		80% LBAP Sub-total	\$ 8,606,577

#	COG	Initial 20% Allocation of Funds for HUD Impacted Counties	
12	GCRPC	Calhoun County (Excluding Zip 77979 Calhoun, Point Comfort, and Seadrift)	\$ 723,020
13	GCRPC	City of Point Comfort	\$ 896,763
14	GCRPC	Calhoun Port Authority	\$ -
15	GCRPC	Lavaca Pipe Line Company	NA
16	GCRPC	City of Seadrift	\$ 770,648
17	GCRPC	Port O'Conner Improvement District	\$ -
18	GCRPC	Westside Calhoun County Navigation District	\$ -
19	GCRPC	DeWitt County (Excluding City of Cuero, City of Yoakum, and City of Yorktown)	\$ 690,453
20	GCRPC	City of Cuero	\$ 658,785
21	GCRPC	Cuero Economic Corporation	\$ -
22	GCRPC	Cuero Housing Authority	\$ -
23	GCRPC	Cuero Independent School District	\$ -
24	GCRPC	Dewitt County Drainage District No.1	\$ -
25	GCRPC	Pecan Valley Groundwater Conservation District	\$ -
26	GCRPC	City of Yoakum	\$ 658,962
27	GCRPC	Yoakum Hospital District	\$ -
28	GCRPC	Yoakum Housing Authority	\$ -
29	GCRPC	Yoakum Independent School District	\$ -
30	GCRPC	City of Yorktown	\$ 711,443
31	GCRPC	Yorktown Housing Authority	\$ -
32	GCRPC	Yorktown Independent School District	\$ -
33	GCRPC	Goliad County (Excluding City of Goliad)	\$ 383,243
34	GCRPC	City of Goliad	\$ 409,504
35	GCRPC	Goliad County Groundwater Conservation District	\$ -
36	GCRPC	Goliad Economic Development Corporation	\$ -
37	GCRPC	Goliad Housing Authority	\$ -
38	GCRPC	Goliad Independent School District	\$ -

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

LBAP Initial 20% Allocation of Funds for HUD Impacted Counties - Continued

39	GCRPC	Gonzales County (Excluding City of Gonzales, City of Nixon, City of Smiley, and City of Waelder)	\$ 453,249
40	GCRPC	City of Gonzales	\$ 454,327
41	GCRPC	Gonzales County Underground Water Conservation District	\$ -
42	GCRPC	Gonzales County Water Supply Corporation	\$ -
43	GCRPC	Gonzales Healthcare Systems	\$ -
44	GCRPC	Gonzales Independent School District	\$ -
45	GCRPC	Guadalupe Valley Electric Cooperative, Inc.	NA
46	GCRPC	City of Nixon	\$ 466,979
47	GCRPC	Nixon-Smiley Consolidated Independent School District	\$ -
48	GCRPC	City of Smiley	\$ 530,425
49	GCRPC	City of Waelder	\$ 485,359
50	GCRPC	Waelder Independent School District	\$ -
51	GCRPC	Jackson County (Excluding City of Edna, City of Ganado, and City of La Ward)	\$ 203,965
52	GCRPC	City of Edna	\$ 205,204
53	GCRPC	Edna Independent School District	\$ -
54	GCRPC	Jackson County Navigation District	\$ -
55	GCRPC	Lavaca-Navidad River Authority	\$ -
56	GCRPC	City of Ganado	\$ 219,399
57	GCRPC	Ganado Independent School District	\$ -
58	GCRPC	Ganado Telephone Co, Inc.	NA
59	GCRPC	Jackson Electric Coopoerative, Inc.	NA
60	GCRPC	YK Communications	NA
61	GCRPC	City of La Ward	\$ 346,615
62	GCRPC	La Ward Telephone Exchange, Inc.	NA
63	GCRPC	Lavaca County (Excluding City of Hallettsville, City of Moulton, and City of Shiner)	\$ 133,383
64	GCRPC	City of Hallettsville	\$ 137,340
65	GCRPC	Ezzell Independent School District	\$ -
66	GCRPC	Hallettsville 4A Manufacturing Development Corporation	\$ -
67	GCRPC	Hallettsville 4B Business Development Corporation	\$ -
68	GCRPC	Hallettsville Independent School District	\$ -
69	GCRPC	Vysehrad Independent School District	\$ -
70	GCRPC	City of Moulton	\$ 145,812
71	GCRPC	Moulton Independent School District	\$ -
72	GCRPC	City of Shiner	\$ 139,191
73	GCRPC	Shiner Independent School District	\$ -
20% LBAP Sub-Total			\$ 9,824,070

Local Infrastructure Program (LIP) Distribution

Initial 80% Allocation of Funds For HUD Most Impacted Counties & Zip Codes	
Victoria County (Excluding City of Victoria)	\$3,515,651
City of Victoria	\$6,056,722
77979 Calhoun County (Excluding City of Port Lavaca)	\$3,740,301
City of Port Lavaca (77979)	\$5,113,395

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

LIP Distribution – Continued

Initial 20% Allocation of Funds for HUD Impacted Counties	
Calhoun County (Excluding Zip 77979 Calhoun County, Point Comfort, and Seadrift)	\$2,196,247
<i>City of Point Comfort</i>	\$1,031,252
<i>City of Seadrift</i>	\$1,536,581
De Witt County (Excluding City of Cuero, City of Yoakum, and City of Yorktown)	\$ 866,608
<i>City of Cuero</i>	\$1,426,977
<i>City of Yoakum</i>	\$1,416,383
<i>City of Yorktown</i>	\$ 793,105
Goliad County (Excluding City of Goliad)	\$ 723,030
<i>City of Goliad</i>	\$ 477,108
Gonzales County (Excluding City of Gonzales, City of Nixon, City of Smiley, and City of Waelder)	\$ 903,466
<i>City of Gonzales</i>	\$ 852,300
<i>City of Nixon</i>	\$ 671,903
<i>City of Smiley</i>	\$ 595,907
<i>City of Waelder</i>	\$ 623,785
Jackson County (Excluding City of Edna, City of Ganado, and City of La Ward)	\$ 840,270
<i>City of Edna</i>	\$ 730,897
<i>City of Ganado</i>	\$ 418,493
<i>City of La Ward</i>	\$ 297,827
Lavaca County (Excluding City of Hallettsville, City of Moulton, and City of Shiner)	\$ 400,454
<i>City of Hallettsville</i>	\$ 279,939
<i>City of Moulton</i>	\$ 263,295
<i>City of Shiner</i>	\$ 272,693

Attachment 4 - LBAP and LIP MOD Data Sources

(For more information please contact Michael Ada at michaela@gcrpc.org or call at 361-578-1587 ext. 204)

AS OF 6/19/2018

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman